IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.295 OF 2004

The State of Maharashtra ...Appellant
Vs.
Shashikant Sahebrao Sawant & Ors. ...Respondents

Shri S.S. Pednekar, A.P.P. for the Appellant.

CORAM : R.S.MOHITE, J.
DATE : JANUARY 16, 2006.

P.C.:

1. This is an Appeal filed by the State impugning the Judgment and Order passed by the Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Vaduj on 30.10.2003, acquitting the accused of the offences punishable under section 498-A, 323, 504, 506 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. Perusal of the impugned judgment and order indicates that same is passed on cogent and acceptable reasons. The court has observed that the demand of Rs.one lakh is said to be for the institution of computer institute of the accused No.1. The complaint, however indicated that there was a computer institute of accused No.1. The complainant admitted that the accused had sent her three notices calling upon her for cohabitation and as per the third notice, the husband himself had gone to her parents place and brought her for cohabitation. The complainant further admitted that her father-in-law had taken her to the doctor when she was sick and that her in-laws and her husband were in hospital till she

as discharged. There is delay of fifteen days in filing the F.I.R. and the complainant admitted in the cross-examination that she herself and her father had pondered as to what should be the complaint to be lodged before filing F.I.R. In this view of the matter leave refused and consequently the Appeal stands dismissed.

JUDGE